

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/877,249		06/11/2001	Stanley John Becker	608-297	7974
23117	7590	06/04/2003			
,					VER
1100 N GLE 8TH FLOOR)	LEUNG, JENNIFER A		
ARLINGTO	ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				PAPER NUMBER
				1764	
				1704	15
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicani(s)	/				
Advisory Action	09/877,249	BECKER ET AL.					
.*	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Jennifer A. Leung	1764					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the corresponding address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a)							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the Final Office Action.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.	•						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,10-21 and 47-65.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.				
	ched Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	then ?		,				
	HIEN TRA PRIMARY EXA	N					
		·					

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 09/877,249

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The newly added limitations in claim 1 in the Amendment After Final (i.e. incorporation of the limitations of a "fluid bed reactor" and "more than one inlet pipes" found originally in claims 21 and 17, and the newly added limitation of "a grid") changes the breath of the claims which depend on claim 1 but not 21 or 17, and also raises new issue, necessitating further consideration and search.